# metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# catena-Poly[[diacetonitrilecopper(I)]- $\mu$ dicyanamido]

# Benjamin Oelkers, Marion Stricker and Jörg Sundermeyer\*

Philipps-Universität Marburg, Fachbereich Chemie, Hans-Meerwein-Strasse, 35032 Marburg Germany

Correspondence e-mail: jsu@staff.uni-marburg.de

Received 4 November 2011; accepted 7 November 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study: T = 100 K: mean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.003$  Å: R factor = 0.032; wR factor = 0.066; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.5.

The crystal structure of the title compound,  $[Cu(C_2N_3) (C_2H_3N)_2]_n$ , features zigzag chains along the *a* axis that consist of alternating [Cu(MeCN)<sub>2</sub>] and dicyanamide units, the latter acting as bidentate ligands via both terminal N atoms. The Cu atom shows a slightly distorted tetrahedral coordination sphere. The anionic and neutral ligands lie on different mirror planes (perpendicular to the b and a axis, respectively), while the Cu atom is situated on their intersection. The asymmetric unit comprises one fourth of the formula unit.

#### **Related literature**

For ionic liquids (ILs) with dicyanamide anions, see: MacFarlane et al. (2001). For copper-based ILs, see: Stricker et al. (2010). For solvent-free [Cu(dicyanamide)] and its monoadduct with acetonitrile, see: Bessler et al. (2000); Batten et al. (2000).



# **Experimental**

Crystal data  $[Cu(C_2N_3)(C_2H_3N)_2]$  $M_r = 211.71$ Orthorhombic, Pmmn

a = 7.5222 (5) Å b = 10.5307 (11) Å c = 5.5378 (4) Å

V = 438.67 (6) Å<sup>3</sup> 7 - 2Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

#### Data collection

Stoe IPDS 2 diffractometer	4132 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	528 independent reflections
(Blessing, 1995)	510 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.375, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.783$	$R_{\rm int} = 0.097$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$ 39 parameters  $wR(F^2) = 0.066$ H-atom parameters constrained  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.89 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-1}$ S = 1.13 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$  = -1.05 e Å<sup>-3</sup> 528 reflections

 $\mu = 2.44 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

 $0.45 \times 0.27 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$ 

T = 100 K

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cu1-N2	1.974 (2)	N1-C1	1.312 (3)
Cu1-N3	2.021 (2)	N2-C1	1.155 (3)
N2 <sup>i</sup> -Cu1-N2	110.34 (11)	C1 <sup>ii</sup> -N1-C1	117.0 (3)
N2-Cu1-N3	111.79 (4)	C1-N2-Cu1	172.85 (18)
N3 <sup>i</sup> -Cu1-N3	98.91 (11)	N2-C1-N1	176.2 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z$ ; (ii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z$ .

Data collection: X-AREA (Stoe & Cie, 2001); cell refinement: X-AREA; data reduction: X-AREA; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2007); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

Routine data collection was performed by the XRD service department (Dr K. Harms, G. Geiseler and R. Riedel) of the Chemistry Department, Philipps-University, and is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HP2020).

#### References

Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343-350.

Batten, S. R., Harris, A. R., Jensen, P., Murray, K. S. & Ziebell, A. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3829-3835.

Bessler, K. E., Romualdo, L. L., Deflon, V. M. & Hagenbach, A. (2000). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 626, 1942-1945.

Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.

Brandenburg, K. (2007). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany. Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

MacFarlane, D. R., Golding, J., Forsyth, M. & Deacon, G. B. (2001). Chem.

Commun. pp. 1430-1431.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Stoe & Cie (2001). X-AREA and X-RED. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany. Stricker, M., Linder, T., Oelkers, B. & Sundermeyer, J. (2010). Green Chem. 12, 1589-1598.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m1784 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811047052]

# catena-Poly[[diacetonitrilecopper(I)]-,<sup>µ</sup>-dicyanamido]

# B. Oelkers, M. Stricker and J. Sundermeyer

#### Comment

Salts with dicyanamide (DCA) as anion have gained some interest recently because of their use as ionic liquids (ILs) with low viscosities (MacFarlane *et al.*, 2001). During our ongoing investigations on copper-containing ILs (Stricker *et al.*, 2010), we found that upon heating a mixture of Cu(DCA) and [BMIM](DCA) ([BMIM]<sup>+</sup> = 1-*n*-butyl-3-methylimidazolium) in acetonitrile the title compound [Cu(DCA)(MeCN)<sub>2</sub>]<sub> $\infty$ </sub> is formed instead of the expected product [BMIM][Cu(DCA)<sub>2</sub>]. It is interesting to note that slightly different conditions ([Cu(MeCN)<sub>4</sub>]ClO<sub>4</sub>, (Ph<sub>3</sub>P=N=PPh<sub>3</sub>)(DCA), acetonitrile/acetone, ambient temperature) lead to the monoadduct [Cu(DCA)(MeCN)]<sub> $\infty$ </sub> (Batten *et al.*, 2000), while solvent-free copper(I)-dicyanamide results from reduction of an aqueous solution of Na(DCA) and CuSO<sub>4</sub> with NaHSO<sub>3</sub> (Bessler *et al.*, 2000).

The crystal structure of the title compound features zigzag chains along the *a* axis that consist of alternating  $[Cu(MeCN)_2]$  and dicyanamide units. The latter act as bidentate ligands *via* both terminal nitrogen atoms, the copper atoms show a slightly distorted tetrahedral coordination sphere (Fig. 1). The anionic and neutral ligands lie on different mirror planes (perpendicular to the *b* and *a* axis, respectively) while the metal is situated on their intersection. The asymmetric unit comprises one fourth of the formula unit.

The comparison of the title compound  $[Cu(DCA)(MeCN)_2]_{\infty}$  and the monoadduct  $[Cu(DCA)(MeCN)]_{\infty}$  (Batten *et al.*, 2000) shows similarities in terms of bond lengths and angles. In fact, both structures can locally be related to each other by formally breaking the copper-imide bonds of the latter and replacing them with acetonitrile ligands. The obtained structural motif is then very similar to the one-dimensional polymer building up the title compound (Fig. 2). Thus a partial depolymerization of  $[Cu(DCA)(MeCN)]_{\infty}$  formally leads to the reported structure of  $[Cu(DCA)(MeCN)_2]_{\infty}$  without additional bond breaking.

## **Experimental**

To a mixture of Cu(DCA) (68 mg, 0.52 mmol) and [BMIM](DCA) (108 mg, 0.53 mmol;  $[BMIM]^+ = 1$ -*n*-butyl-3-methylimidazolium) acetonitrile (60 ml) was added. Although the mixture was heated to 80 °C for 4.5 h, some amorphous solid remained. Upon slow cooling to room temperature colourless crystals of the title compound formed.

## Refinement

Hydrogen atoms of the methyl groups were placed on idealized positions and refined using a riding model with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 \times U_{eq}(C)$  and C–H bond lengths of 0.98 Å.

**Figures** 



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are shown for 50% probability. Symmetry codes: (i) 3/2-x, 3/2-y, z; (ii) 1/2-x, 3/2-y, z; (iii) -1 + x, y, x.

Fig. 2. Packing diagram of the title compound, view along [1 1 10].

# catena-Poly[[diacetonitrilecopper(I)]-µ-dicyanamido]

Crystal data	
$[Cu(C_2N_3)(C_2H_3N)_2]$	F(000) = 212
$M_r = 211.71$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.603 {\rm ~Mg~m}^{-3}$
Orthorhombic, Pmmn	Mo <i>K</i> $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 2ab 2a	Cell parameters from 9024 reflections
a = 7.5222 (5) Å	$\theta = 1.9 - 27.1^{\circ}$
b = 10.5307 (11)  Å	$\mu = 2.44 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 5.5378 (4) Å	T = 100  K
$V = 438.67 (6) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism, colourless
<i>Z</i> = 2	$0.45\times0.27\times0.12\ mm$

# Data collection

Stoe IPDS 2 diffractometer	528 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	510 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.097$
Detector resolution: 6.67 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.7^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.3^{\circ}$
rotation method scans	$h = -8 \rightarrow 9$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (Blessing, 1995)	$k = -13 \rightarrow 13$
$T_{\min} = 0.375, \ T_{\max} = 0.783$	$l = -7 \rightarrow 6$
4132 measured reflections	

# Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.066$	H-atom parameters constrained
<i>S</i> = 1.13	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0425P)^2 + 0.0839P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
528 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
39 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.89 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -1.05 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All s.u.'s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.'s is used for estimating s.u.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

The methyl group was modelled using AFIX 133 as a riding group with idealized geometry. As the most favourable conformation was found to be symmetric with respect to a mirror plane, two protons with half occupancy were generated at symmetry-related positions. These two symmetry-dependent protons were combined by eliminating one of them, setting the other one to full occupancy and finally changing the AFIX code to 03 in order to retain the idealized geometry.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
Cu1	0.7500	0.7500	0.37747 (6)	0.02393 (18)
N1	0.2500	0.7500	0.8017 (5)	0.0267 (5)
N2	0.5346 (3)	0.7500	0.5810 (3)	0.0270 (4)
N3	0.7500	0.8958 (2)	0.1402 (3)	0.0299 (4)
C1	0.3987 (3)	0.7500	0.6779 (4)	0.0226 (4)
C2	0.7500	1.0397 (2)	-0.2413 (4)	0.0318 (5)
H2A	0.7500	0.9863	-0.3861	0.048*
H2B	0.8562	1.0937	-0.2407	0.048*
C3	0.7500	0.95947 (19)	-0.0270 (4)	0.0267 (4)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

# Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu1	0.0242 (3)	0.0252 (2)	0.0224 (3)	0.000	0.000	0.000
N1	0.0233 (12)	0.0342 (13)	0.0224 (12)	0.000	0.000	0.000
N2	0.0241 (9)	0.0312 (9)	0.0256 (8)	0.000	-0.0021 (7)	0.000
N3	0.0348 (11)	0.0268 (10)	0.0281 (11)	0.000	0.000	0.0007 (7)
C1	0.0258 (10)	0.0214 (8)	0.0205 (9)	0.000	-0.0044 (8)	0.000
C2	0.0463 (13)	0.0246 (10)	0.0245 (11)	0.000	0.000	0.0028 (9)

# supplementary materials

C3	0.0307 (10)	0.0235 (10)	0.0261 (11)	0.000	0.000	-0.0014 (9)
Geometric pa	rameters (Å, °)					
Cu1—N2 <sup>i</sup>		1.974 (2)	N2-	C1		1.155 (3)
Cu1—N2		1.974 (2)	N3-	—С3		1.143 (3)
Cu1—N3 <sup>i</sup>		2.021 (2)	С3-	C2		1.457 (3)
Cu1—N3		2.021 (2)	C2-	-H2A		0.9800
N1-C1 <sup>ii</sup>		1.312 (3)	C2-	–H2B		0.9800
N1—C1		1.312 (3)				
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N	2	110.34 (11)	C1-			172.85 (18)
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N	3 <sup>i</sup>	111.79 (4)	С3-	N3Cu1		166.44 (18)
N2—Cu1—N3	3 <sup>i</sup>	111.79 (4)	N2-	C1N1		176.2 (2)
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N	3	111.79 (4)	С3-	—С2—Н2А		109.5
N2—Cu1—N3	3	111.79 (4)	С3-	—С2—Н2В		109.5
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N	3	98.91 (11)	H2A	А—С2—Н2В		109.6
C1 <sup>ii</sup> —N1—C1		117.0 (3)	N3-	C3C2		179.6 (2)
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N	3—С3	117.87 (5)	N3 <sup>i</sup>			0.000 (4)
N2—Cu1—N3	3—С3	-117.87 (5)				
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+3/2$ , $-y+3/2$ , z; (ii) $-x+1/2$ , $-y+3/2$ , z.						

Fig. 1





